Brazil

Joseph Sola

Comments about Rio, Downtown (high level) and Port Area, will be used for Rio+20 conference. Where the meetings will be, about the airports, and other details, like the entrances etc.

Address for questions: hear voice recorder

Questions:

1. Concerning space for all the participants. Is there enough capacity for all the participants.
2. Themes for these days?
3. Where near Rio Center could be organized conferences.
4. Deep sea congr.: what about the public transportation
5. Program for member states, organizing side events, want more details, how, when etc.
6. Are the events sustainable?

J. Sola

There will probably be problems with accommodation, this was also the fact in Durban.
26000 hotel rooms available, but there are also other kind of accommodation, like hostels etc.

There is a very good system for public transportation and there will be extra buses to Rio Center.

Sustainability of the conference: team who diagnoses all the areas. There will be attention to energy, waste etc.

Issues, themes: 8 round tables’

Poverty eradication, Food security

Ec. Sustainable consuming and production

Innovation

Building

Migration

Oceans

Role of civil society is very important, report handed to the heads of states and governments.

See also the web site

Sustainable development

Structure and format of zero draft outcome doct. They welcome ideas.

Political groupings, delegates, major groups, 3 / 5 minutes.

UNCSD website

Pol. Groupings; EU Group of 77 and China did nog want to speak

**EU**27 member states

Regret confusion of yesterday corr. Is available.

Transition is global issue, win - win opportunities. Cost cutting issues.

Integrated social and sust balances.

Sectors: Spec . element of road map: actions taken steps toward green econ. Businesses, leading role. Enable private sector and businesses to green econ.

Proposals of other countries

Structure and format of draft: needs forward looking focused outcome doc. A political declaration in one doct. Addressing new challenges. Green ec. Roadmap.

ISSD, improve govt. in all levels to sustainability, pov erad. And green econ.

 No more political groupings

**Kazakhstan**

Minist of environmental issues

Republic: 20 years of independence!

Long term strategy. Support of ESCAP and?

Approach of fragmentary actions is not enough, there must be multilateralism, we must work together.

Combating poverty and creating employment and food security.

Round table in Kazakhstan in February, also side event.

More precise program.

India

Outcome doct. Should be balanced action oriented, focused.

balanced, global sust. Agenda.

What a green economy is not should also be stated.

G.E. road map, relate to social groups, pov. Eradication etc.

Facilitating countries to take the right decisions. Give developing countries the possibility to pov. Erad.

Multilateralism.

Major group of industry.

Progresses have been achieved, more awareness, exelleration increases engagement.

Balanced factual evaluation.

Outline of framework

Clear set of priorities.

Full spectrum

Progress made since 1992.

No one size, all elements in their own ways.

Framework that supports sust. Ec.

Investments are massive, to make production green, require clarity, to stimulate investment.

True partners in negotiation and solutions.

**New Zealand**

Constructive dialogue

Pac. Islands, oceans.

Eliminating harmful, fishery subsidies

**Iceland**

Outcome doct. With a broad political commitment

Measure progress

Prod consumption on food and services.

Stakeholders, major groups and financial institutions

Key role for private secor.

**Mexico**

Expl. Of national resources, sust. Prod and consumpt.

Outcome doct.

Brief, concrete

Commitment of all of us.

Est. goals and realistic deadline

Clear text to everyone!

Green ec.

Thanks Colombia for idea for the area.

New rule for development

Increase capacity of UNEP

Complete pack

Leadership, coordination, implementation.

Shared politics, among all sectors.

**Farmers Major group**

Opportunity to farmers to secure

Increase support to farmers

Animal welfare.

**Via Campesina**

Rural, indigenous people

Use of tradition methods, guarantees of productive lands.

Outcome doc. Demand for our future

**Chili**

Sust devt goals developed by several South Am countries

**Switzerland**

Amplify implementation

Outcome doc accelerate Implement

Outcome and annexation with more details,

High political

Action oriented

Green economy road map.

Policy level and operation level.

Concrete time line.

Layout pathway for individual and collect. Action

Best structures for implementation.

Framework

Separate parts in outcome, holistic

**Republic of Korea**

preparation to Rio

Outcome doct.

Format structure: coordinated way to deal with global challenges.

Renewed Political commitment and action.

Commitment by countries

Objective and goals.

3 pillars to tackle.

Water, food sec. electricity etc.

All in main body of outcome doct.

**Ukraine**

Obstacle= we need a more integrated approach.

Outcome: voluntary commitment of governments, stakeholders etc.

Global level:

Should be directed by Global commons

Key stakeholders

Environment for Europe

Transformation of discussion forum to a decision making forum?

Strategies and action plans.

UNEP transforming and strengthening.

Indig. People Major groups

Approaches of green economy : important to promote a green economy to people in your cities, poverty is in the cities

Have to debate more about sacred values of the rivers etc. Voluntary Fund for indigenous people.

**China**

Action oriented, substance and outcome

3 objectives

Technical transfer and cap building

Full participation of the developing countries

**Saudi Arabia**

G77

Hope that conference will be a success, for the implementation of sust.devt. goals

Form and content: emph: reestablish 3 pillars, health services, peace full society and jobs for youth.

Green econ should be linked to sust. Econ, should not constitute an obstacle.

Main objective: timelines deadlines.

Strengthen partnerships between developed and developing world.

Framework based on the Rio principles

Differences between countries, focus our attention on sustainable development and we do not need more institutions.

**Indonesia**

More balance

Role of countries, national institutions.

Poverty eradication, jobs, access to information.

Draft: political doct.

Separate action plan, with the action integrated in the doct.

Rio + 20 should be historical and not just another conference.

**Chad**

Need strong consensus, poverty is still an obstacle.

Eradicate poverty

Clear objectives, global

Institutional solidarity

Collective efforts ti achieve sust. Econ.

**Cambodia**

Rio+ 20 principle.

Sust dev and pov erad.

Green econ for all countries, institutional,

One common institution: ICS

**Bolivia**

Outcome document
evaluation on achievements so far and the gaps.

About the crises, food, water. Etc.

Access to water.

Technology, agriculture, energy

Plan of action of Johannesburg

Central role, for major groups

**Australia**

Zero draft should reflect common grounds, shared by countries.

New emerging issues

Short focuses, understandable, document, holistic apporach

More consensus

Ambitions, practical commitment

**Japan**

Outcome doct.

Second model.

Disappointed that the bureau did not provide model for document.

**Canada**

A single document structured in 3 parts.

Should be short focused and results oriented.

Focus on 3 or 4 actions.

Achievable with wide consensus

Inter governmental…?

Further implementation of Rio.

Lack of political will?

Some examples: reports should be shared with the parliaments.

Outcome doct. Should be short. Political and understandable for everyone.

Fusion of the 3 pillars.

International green budget.

Econ. And social cost for the environment.

Cost of loss of resources.

**USA**

Additional points to draft.

Re energize actions. Speak to the millions of people.

Broad agreements.

No more than 5 pages.

Rio should be more than about the documentations.

Inspire a new generations to achieve sustainability.

**Tunesia**

Support statement by G77, China and Arab group

Targeted plan together with an action plan.

Need to have institutions at intl. level.

Programs that benefit all the participants.

Coordinate and set out actions.

Intl. solidarity.

Job creating measurements, poverty eradication

**Namibia**

Hope that the agreements made in 1992 and 2002 are still relevant.

Structure: need implementation, finances available.

Outcome doct.

Structures action oriented. Quality elements.

Tot down approach.

Easy to be implemented by got. And social society.

**Bosnia and Herzegovina**

Supports Statements of EU

3 pillars.

Substantial job creation.

Scientific and technological progress

**Germany**

Add to EU:

Greening our economy contributes to poverty eradication.

Better access to water food and sust.energy

Is in the interest of all countries

Sust consumption an production.

Sust. Chemical waste management.

Capacity development, should create national framework.

Unique set of challenges for each country

Coherence between the different institutions

Civil society participation.

Afternoon: Continuation on outcome and zero draft.

**Montenegro:**

Road map for green econ, specific goals.

Financing mechanism.

Spec. information and analyzing.

International level

Global implemented initiatives.

Direct support to the member states, globally.

Reform institutional framework, to gaps in implementation. Strengtening of UNEP.

**Gabon**

Confirms: G77 China, African group and Namibia

Green economy is important to achieve poverty eradication.

**Thailand**

G77/China

New Paradigm, people at the center, fulfillment of human potential.

Single outcome doct. Action oriented, ag 21 Rio principles and Johannesburg 3 pillars integrated and balanced .

Sustainable energy, waterman. Sust. cons an prod. Important to green econ, publ. Investment and green taxes, human agenda. Improvement of health, social econ improvement, housing, social protection. Heath program. Assisting developing countries with implementation. ISSD, UNEP has to be strengthened.

Achieve more policy coherence.

**Norway**

Forward looking and result orientated.

Renewed political agreement on sust. Econ.

Inst. Framework. Intergovern. Form. Reform of UNEP government structure.

Empowerment of women. Engage civ. Society.

**FAO**

No green econ without sust. Agricult, live stocks fisheries etc.
Almost carbon neutral section can be the result. Engine for econ. Devt. Food security.

Nutrition for 9 billion people.

Access to food and productive for the poor. Utilization of low carbon production.

Analysis green econ. Sustainable food production.

Food waste.

Mid febr./ Side event Rio

**Conclusion:**

Mr. Sha Zukang

Main task for intercessional is to hear about structure and format of outcome paper.

3 interrelated issures.

Structure and format:

Focused, single doct.

Most want a concised doc.

USA, number of pages: 5

Renewed political and already agreed on.

Road map. Framework

Much stressed: Accountability!!!

Reaffirmation of all the Rio principles. Forward looking, complete set of action, to ajust the impl. Gap. Eradicate poverty.

To future generations: full productive lives in harmony with nature.

Social

Integrate the 3 pillars of sust.

Highest level of government and all stakeholders.

Broad agreement: green econ, together with pov. Erad.

National actions and international agreement.

Rio principles

Widely , green economy must be built in each county, at their own possibilities so flexibility.

Developing countries, necessary resources and investments.

Progress beyond GDP?

Sust. Agric. Energy access to water.

Mountains, forest, bio diversity and climate change.

Social equity and protection, capacity building.

Institutional framework for implementation for actions agreed on.

Promote integration of the 3 pillars. Coherence to promote implementation.

Balance and integration between the 3 pillars. Sust. Devp council to replace the ISSD.

Mechanism and processes to follow up the implementation.

Rio +20 must be a conference for all.

Other stakeholders must be immediately integrated.

Outcome should be strong in words and in action.

We look forward to the zero draft, by co-chair / the bureau

Dead line for zero draft is somewhere in January.

Secretary (is leaving dec. 31)

Hopes for successful outcome